Obesity and untreated obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) have been linked to numerous health consequences, including diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and premature mortality. Although OSA may occur in a wide range of individuals, including those who are thin and physically fit, obesity is an important risk factor for this disorder. The prevalence of OSA among obese individuals is high and correlates with increasing body mass index (BMI). Among the severely obese, the prevalence of OSA ranges from 55% to 90%. OSA itself may promote weight gain through ineffective sleep, impaired glucose metabolism, and imbalances of leptin, ghrelin, and orexin levels. Obese individuals frequently have more severe disease, as manifested by a higher apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) and a lower nadir on nocturnal pulse oximetry (SpO₂). In view of the close association of OSA and obesity, it might be assumed that bariatric surgery resulting in significant weight loss would also improve OSA. However, many patients report persistent somnolence and snoring despite substantial weight loss. Furthermore, many individuals who initially achieve significant weight reductions regain a portion of their weight. The persistent symptoms and subsequent weight gain may be associated with or result from residual sleep-disordered breathing.

Obesity is neither necessary nor sufficient for the development of OSA. A corollary to this statement is that weight loss following bariatric surgery may not be sufficient to resolve OSA. Unfortunately, the dramatic reductions in AHI reported with weight loss in some studies of bariatric surgery overshadow the fact that surgical weight loss may not cure sleep-disordered breathing. Few studies have reported outcomes in terms of frequency of disease resolution using postoperative polysomnography.

The AHI is a measure used to identify the presence of OSA and define its severity. Individuals with an AHI of less than 5 events per hour are not considered to have OSA. One commonly used scheme categorizes patients with an AHI from 5 to 14 as having mild OSA, from 15 to 29 as moderate OSA, and from 30 or greater as having severe OSA. Many obese patients...
evaluated by polysomnography prior to bariatric surgery have been shown to have very severe disease, with AHIs exceeding 100 events per hour.\textsuperscript{17,21,22} In these patients, even large reductions in the AHI may leave them with persistently severe OSA that necessitates ongoing treatment.

In this paper, we report descriptive data from our cohort and attempt to identify predictors of the presence and severity of OSA following surgical weight loss. In addition, we explore the effects of surgical weight loss on continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) requirements and identify predictors of discontinuation of CPAP therapy.

**METHODS**

**Subjects**

Consecutive patients referred to our sleep medicine clinic for preoperative evaluation of excessive daytime somnolence (EDS) prior to bariatric surgery were included. Our sleep center is part of an academic, tertiary-care referral hospital. From January 2003 until January 2005, 145 people were evaluated for bariatric surgery in our bariatric surgery clinic, and 118 patients elected to undergo gastric banding procedures. Of these, 25 patients were referred to our sleep clinic for perioperative evaluation. Polysomnography was obtained in all subjects prior to undergoing bariatric surgery. One patient died peripheratively from a pulmonary embolus, and data from this patient are not included in this study. The remaining 24 patients were reevaluated in our sleep clinic approximately 1 year after surgery for a follow-up evaluation and polysomnography. The protocol was approved by our institution’s scientific research review committee.

**Measurements**

Subjects were clinically evaluated by a board-certified sleep specialist prior to and 1 year following bariatric surgery. This time interval was chosen to optimize the resultant weight loss and allow adequate time for recovery from surgery. Both evaluations included a clinical assessment and an overnight attended polysomnogram. If OSA was diagnosed, the subject also underwent a formal CPAP titration and was prescribed CPAP for continued home use. Patients were educated on the potential benefits of treating OSA and the proper use of CPAP. All patients received appropriate follow-up care.

For each subject, we collected demographic, clinical, and polysomnographic data. Demographic data included age, sex, and BMI. BMI was calculated from measured height and weight in each subject and is expressed as kg/m\(^2\). A BMI less than 25 was considered normal and 30 or greater was defined as obese. Subjective complaints of EDS and snoring and results of the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) were recorded.\textsuperscript{23} The attended overnight polysomnogram in our sleep laboratory consisted of a standardized 12-channel montage (Sensormedics Alpha Somnostar system, Sensormedics, Yorba Linda, CA), and studies were scored in 30-second epochs following Rechtschaffen and Kales criteria for sleep staging.\textsuperscript{24,25} Polysomnographic data recorded for this analysis included the AHI, SpO\(_2\) nadir, percentage of time with an SpO\(_2\) below 80% and below 90%, and CPAP pressure needed to ablate obstructive respiratory events. OSA was diagnosed using the accepted criteria and standards of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine.\textsuperscript{20,26} This included an AHI of 5 or more events per hour associated with subjective EDS and/or an ESS > 10. OSA was considered mild if the AHI was 5 or more per hour but less than 15 per hour, moderate if 15 or more per hour but less than 30, and severe if 30 or more per hour.\textsuperscript{20,26} During the second clinical evaluation, when applicable, CPAP compliance was determined by both self-reported use and interrogation of the automated device-driven recorded information, or so-called “smart-card” download.

**Endpoints**

Our primary endpoint was severity of OSA following surgical weight loss. Secondary variables included absolute changes in AHI, weight, BMI, ESS, subjective complaints of snoring and EDS, percentage of time with nocturnal SaO\(_2\) below 90%, SaO\(_2\) nadir on polysomnography, and quantity of CPAP required to ablate respiratory events between the preoperative and postoperative polysomnograms.

**Statistical Analysis**

We compared continuous variables with the paired Student t-test and analyzed categorical variables with the Fisher exact test. All tests were 2 tailed, and p values of less than 0.05 were assumed to represent statistical significance. When applicable, data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Multivariate linear regression was used to identify independent predictors of the AHI following surgical weight loss. All analyses were completed using Stata ver. 9.2 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

**RESULTS**

The baseline characteristics of the study participants, including demographic information, Malampati grade, and presence of obesity-related medical complications are presented in Table 1. At enrollment, patients were, on average, 47.9 ± 9.3 years of age, and most (75%) were women. The BMI of enrolled pa-
Subjects. Two individuals experienced an increase in their AHI. Postoperative polysomnography revealed reductions in the AHI in 22 patients. The average AHI decreased 23.4 events per hour (p < 0.001), but substantially varied (SD = 22.8, range: -2 to 97). Postoperative polysomnography revealed reductions in the AHI in 22 subjects. Two individuals experienced an increase in their AHI despite a mean reduction in BMI of 18.4 kg/m². Using AHI cutoffs for mild, moderate, and severe disease, the severity of OSA improved in only 50% of subjects. Of the 12 patients whose AHI improved sufficiently to reclassify their OSA severity, only 3 (12.5%) improved by more than 1 category of severity. Twenty-three patients had persistent OSA at follow-up. Only 1 patient had an AHI less than 5 and no longer met the criteria for a diagnosis of OSA. Most patients (n = 20, 83%) continued to have transient nocturnal hypoxia below 90%. Although only 7 patients (29%) subjectively complained of snoring postoperatively, all but 1 (96%) snored during the follow-up polysomnogram (p = 0.33). Significant improvements were noted on the ESS. However, nearly half reported a persistence of daytime somnolence, and more than half (n = 13, 54%) continued to have ESS scores greater than 10.

We noted significant sex differences between the initial and the follow-up AHIs. Men had higher baseline AHIs (93.7 ± 28.1 vs 32.6 ± 18.0, p < 0.001) and experienced much larger absolute reductions than women (49.5 ± 26.7 vs 14.7 ± 13.5 events per hour, p < 0.001). Men tended to have higher relative reductions in their AHIs than did women (52% vs. 38%, p = 0.27). Despite the more dramatic reductions in the AHI, the men in our study continued to have more severe disease than the women on repeat polysomnography, as measured by the AHI (44.2 ± 23.5 vs 17.9 ± 9.9, p < 0.001). We observed a tendency for women’s classification of OSA severity to improve more commonly than men’s classification (61.1% vs 16.7%, p = 0.16).

We used multivariate linear regression to identify predictors of the AHI following surgical weight loss. Candidate variables included the AHI and BMI at baseline, the absolute change in BMI or AHI, age, sex, and Malampati grade. After controlling for the baseline AHI (β coefficient = 0.777, p < 0.001), no other markers predicted the AHI following weight loss. The majority of the variance in the follow-up AHI was explained by the baseline AHI (R² = 0.603), suggesting that the most important predictor of the AHI following weight loss is the baseline AHI (Figure 1). When we limited our regression models to those who achieved a BMI of less than 30 at follow-up, we again found that the only predictive marker of the follow-up AHI was the baseline AHI.

We also investigated the effects of surgical weight loss on

### Table 2—Effects of Bariatric Surgery on Sleep and Obstructive Sleep Apnea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preoperative (n = 24)</th>
<th>Postoperative (n = 24)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI, kg/m²</strong></td>
<td>51.0 ± 10.4</td>
<td>32.1 ± 5.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight, kg</strong></td>
<td>146.8 ± 28.9</td>
<td>92.8 ± 18.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EDS, %</strong></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ESS, score</strong></td>
<td>15.0 ± 4.9</td>
<td>10.6 ± 4.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Snore, %</strong></td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TST, min</strong></td>
<td>298.4 ± 54.7</td>
<td>319.8 ± 50.6</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sleep efficiency, %</strong></td>
<td>92.8 ± 27.5</td>
<td>83.6 ± 10.8</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sleep latency, min</strong></td>
<td>31.0 ± 25.6</td>
<td>34.4 ± 33.2</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are as mean ± SD or number (%). BMI refers to body mass index; ESS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; EDS, excessive daytime sleepiness; TST, total sleep time; REM, rapid eye movement sleep; AI, apnea index; AHI, apnea-hypopnea index.

*Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) required to abate obstructive events.

Figure 1—Scatter plot of apnea-hypopnea index before and after weight loss. The thick line represents the line of best fit for the follow-up apnea-hypopnea index (AHI). The shaded gray area represents the 95% confidence interval (CI) around the best-fit line.
CPAP requirements and compliance. Twenty of the 23 patients who had persistent OSA at follow-up underwent CPAP titration following weight loss. The mean pressure required to ablate apneic events was reduced by 3.1 ± 3.7 cm H$_2$O (p = 0.001). Despite the high prevalence of residual OSA, only 6 individuals (26%) were compliant with CPAP therapy at the time of their follow-up. Those who had discontinued CPAP at the time of the follow-up visit included all 6 individuals with mild OSA (ESS 10.7 ± 3.7), 7 of 10 with moderate OSA (ESS 10.7 ± 4.4), and 4 of 7 with severe OSA (ESS 11.0 ± 4.1). Individuals who discontinued CPAP had similar measures of daytime somnolence (ESS = 10.8 ± 4.1 vs 11.5 ± 3.8, p = 0.53) and measures of OSA severity (AHI = 20 ± 20.5 vs 29 ± 5.8, p = 0.49) than did those who were compliant with CPAP. Despite the fact that all patients with OSA were observed to snore during the follow-up PSG, most patients (70%) reported that they were no longer snoring at the time of the follow-up visit. Individuals who denied snoring at the follow-up visit were more likely to have discontinued CPAP therapy than were individuals who reported persistent snoring (odds ratio = 10.0, 95% confidence interval = 1.2-81.8).

DISCUSSION

Surgical weight loss resulted in reductions in the AHI in nearly all patients. However, the majority of individuals in our study experienced persistent OSA. Patients and healthcare practitioners should recognize that reliance on weight loss as a “cure” for OSA may lead to an inappropriate cessation of CPAP therapy. Failing to recognize or treat persistent OSA may significantly impact health and quality of life, leading to ensuing weight gain and increased cardiovascular risks.

Despite numerous claims in the lay press that bariatric surgery can cure OSA, several studies have shown that OSA may persist following weight loss. These investigations showed substantial reductions in AHI with concomitant improvements in ESS. However, a very small minority of patients experienced resolution of obstructive events after sustained weight loss, and many patients continued to require CPAP therapy. Recurrence or worsening of sleep apnea has been observed following an initial weight reduction even without a concomitant weight increase. Reports that purport to show resolution of OSA in a majority of subjects do not describe how resolution was defined or if polysomnography was obtained after the weight loss.

In our study, OSA was present in all individuals referred to our sleep clinic prior to bariatric surgery. Our cohort was similar to those in prior reports in that the severity of disease was high prior to weight loss. As expected, weight loss following bariatric surgery almost universally lowered the AHI and resulted in subjective improvements in somnolence. However, despite dramatic reductions in our patients’ AHIs the overall prevalence and severity of OSA remained high. In spite of their ongoing disease, few patients continued to receive therapy for OSA. We observed that patients who felt that their snoring had resolved were at much higher risk of inappropriately discontinuing CPAP therapy. Unfortunately, resolution of subjective snoring did not predict reductions in the severity of OSA. To patients, snoring may have represented an important signal that they were suffering from a sleep-related disease. Perceived resolution of this signal may have led many of the patients in our study to assume that their OSA had resolved and, therefore, no longer warranted treatment. Patients and physicians need to recognize that subjective resolution of snoring does not equate to improvements or cure of OSA following weight loss. Finally, we show that the most important predictor of OSA severity following weight loss is the preoperative severity of disease, as measured by the AHI.

Our study has several limitations. Although we included all patients referred for preoperative sleep evaluation, our cohort represented only 20% of those undergoing bariatric procedures at our institution. Our study is therefore subject to selection bias, and these findings may not be applicable to the general population and may not reflect the true prevalence and severity of OSA among obese individuals considering bariatric surgery. However, we believe that our findings are real-world observations that are meaningful to clinicians, especially in view of numerous reports that have found a near universal presence of sleep apnea among morbidly obese individuals. In addition, the fact that OSA was observed in every referred patient suggests that the threshold for referral in our bariatric surgery clinic may be too high. The prevalence of OSA among patients pursuing bariatric surgery is becoming increasingly recognized. Symptoms-based referral practices are increasingly being replaced by universal sleep evaluations for candidates for bariatric surgery because clinical screening parameters may be falsely negative in this high-risk population. Another limitation is that we did not have anthropometric variables such as neck circumference, percentage of body fat, or waist-to-hip ratio on all subjects. These markers have been suggested as important risk factors for OSA. Inclusion of these markers may have enabled us to more accurately identify predictors of OSA severity following surgical weight loss. Our limited sample size precluded complex multivariate modeling to identify independent predictors of the follow-up AHI. However, the findings from our study do suggest that the most important predictor of the follow-up AHI is the baseline AHI. A final limitation concerns our definition of how we defined a meaningful response following surgical weight loss. For this paper, we evaluated whether
bariatric surgery recategorized the severity of an individual’s OSA using accepted, well-defined cutoffs of the AHI. Some authors have reported data concerning the effects of surgical weight loss on OSA by defining a clinically significant response as a 50% reduction in the AHI and an absolute AHI of less than 15 or less than 20. By applying these criteria to our study, only 3 or 4 patients, respectively, would have had a significant improvement in their OSA following surgical weight loss. Use of these criteria supports our concern that many, if not most, individuals will have persistent OSA despite significant weight loss following surgery.

Despite an elevated AHI, most of the patients in our study independently discontinued treatment for OSA. Individuals who discontinued CPAP had slightly lower measures of daytime sleepiness and were more likely to report a resolution of snoring despite polysomnographic evidence of persistent OSA. The results of a recent study by Buchner et al suggests that these patients may benefit from CPAP therapy despite improvement of their symptoms. The authors of this large prospective study report that treatment of individuals with mild to moderate OSA with CPAP reduced their absolute risk for experiencing a cardiovascular event within the subsequent decade (absolute relative risk = 28.5%, number needed to treat to prevent 1 event/10 years = 3.5). Treatment with CPAP remained an independent predictor of cardiovascular outcomes after adjusting for age, sex, BMI, and cardiovascular risk factors and disease (hazards ratio = 0.34, 95% confidence interval = 0.20-0.58). The authors conclude that CPAP therapy should be considered for primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular events even in patients with mild OSA.

Future questions that remain to be answered include identifying which patients with OSA are likely to benefit from undergoing bariatric surgery. Surgical weight loss alone frequently does not cure OSA, although it does tend to reduce the severity of disease as measured by the AHI and may lower CPAP pressures required to ablate apneic events as was found in this study. Lower CPAP pressures may improve compliance with OSA treatment. However, no long-term outcome data exist to clearly demarcate how much of a reduction in the AHI or CPAP pressures is required to result in meaningful reductions in symptoms and comorbidities related to OSA. Until the impact of surgical weight loss is better defined, patients should be counseled that, although bariatric surgery may result in significant weight loss and improvement in other obesity-related comorbidities such as hypertension and diabetes, the patients are likely to continue to require treatment for OSA.

Despite significant weight loss, OSA persists in the majority of patients following bariatric procedures. Due to negative impacts on health and quality of life associated with OSA, it is recommended that patients continue CPAP therapy and undergo reevaluation with polysomnography to assess for residual disease following surgical weight loss regardless of potential subjective improvements in sleep-related symptoms.
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